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1. Introduction

Intermetallic titanium aluminate-based (TiAl-based) alloys have fascinated researchers working in the automotive and aerospace industries owing to their properties such as excellent oxidation resistance, good elastic modulus, high strength, good creep resistance and most importantly low density (Raji et al. 2019, 2020, 2021; Verma et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2020). Nevertheless, TiAl alloys’ ductility at ambient temperature is very poor, thereby limiting its production through wrought procedures like forging; while anisotropic mechanical properties are obtained for cast TiAl-based alloys due to coarse microstructures and unpredictable defects (Ismael and Wang, 2019; Raji et al. 2020). Furthermore, the plasticity of TiAl alloy at room temperature (RT) makes it rather problematic to adopt traditional processing techniques; thus, limiting their wider applications (Liu et al. 2020a; Raji et al. 2019, 2020). In recent years, laser additive manufacturing (LAM) processes have been developed as novel techniques for manufacturing specialized components through the use of a laser beam and it is a type of additive manufacturing (AM) technique (Raji et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2020). This makes it feasible to produce parts that have complex geometries and materials that are difficult-to-process using conventional methods (Raji et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2020). In comparison to traditional production methods, LAM reduces material wastage and cuts production time (Raji et al. 2021; Verma et al. 2017). It is understood that the phases present within the microstructures and their quantity influences the alloy mechanical properties (Holec et al. 2019; Raji et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2020).

The dual-phased (γ and α₂) TiAl lamellar intermetallic alloy’s strength and deformability is highly dependent on the interfaces of the lamellar (Li et al. 2019). The intermetallic γ-TiAl having microstructures of lamellar primarily comprises of the α₂-Ti₃Al and γ-TiAl phases shows better ductility than any of the single-phase system (Appel and Wagner, 1998; Clemens and Mayer, 2013; Marketz et al. 2003; Li et al. 2019; Raji et al. 2020). The mechanisms of tensile fracture in TiAl alloys at high-temperatures and RT were reported to be comparable (Chen et al. 2020; Liu et al. 2020b; Wang et al. 2020); while the mechanical properties of AMed TiAl-based alloy parts exhibit inhomogeneous microstructures that is not desirable. Also, most works that were carried out to investigate the mechanical properties of TiAl alloys fabricated by AM do not determine the fatigue usage factor which is yet to be thoroughly understood. Moreover, TiAl alloys are applied in automobiles and aerospace jet engines where they are subjected to severe conditions of dynamic and cyclic loadings. Thus, examination of the fatigue usage factor of LAMed TiAl alloys are of extreme significance in a real-world standpoint.

Computer modelling can be very helpful to preliminarily determine the usage factor to fatigue of a typical dual-phase γ-TiAl intermetallic alloy. This study is a preliminary contribution...
specifically devoted to the development of a suitable computational model. The work presents a three-dimensional (3D) model for TiAl alloy under a uniaxial symmetric mechanical loading. The purpose is not the simulation of the real time dynamics of the fatigue process, rather the prediction of the deformation and of the bearing capacity of TiAl alloy usage factor. As the density, heat capacity and thermal conductivity were generated from modelling of the dual-phased microstructure, the LAMed TiAl alloy maximum stress can be directly related to the mechanical properties of the real component. The properties were adopted to predict the usage factor of a real component produced with TiAl alloy. Hence, in this work, COMSOL Multiphysics 5.4 software was used for the simulation of fatigue usage factor along one direction for a dual-phase TiAl intermetallic system initially modelled to evaluate its density, heat capacity and thermal conductivity with the stress determined from the LAM process.

2. The Physical Model

In assessing the fatigue usage factor of a dual-phase LAMed γ-TiAl alloy through modelling and simulation. There are three basic factors taken into account: (1) material properties, (2) geometry and (3) stress limits. In this study, a hexagonal shaped representation of the microstructure was modelled to depict the α₂-Ti₃Al + γ-TiAl presented in Figure 1a. The properties (density, heat capacity and thermal conductivity) were computed from the geometrical configuration and the material property model for the unit cell with γ-TiAl representing the green areas and the α₂-phase being the pink sections.

The LAM process was performed with substrate of 80 mm by 80 mm by 10 mm plate. The energy density of the laser beam during the LAM deposition of γ-TiAl alloy was computed based on equation (1):

$$E = \frac{P}{vd}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where, E is energy density of the laser beam in J/mm², P is power of the laser beam in Watts, d is the laser beam diameter in mm and V is scanning speed of laser in mm/s. This LAM process is a moving heat source which is has a Gaussian distribution profile presented in equation (2).

$$f = \frac{2*\text{Power}}{\pi r^2} e^{(-\frac{x^2+y^2}{r^2})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where, f is the heat flux in J/mm², r is the laser spot size radius in mm, Power is the laser power in Watts, while y and x are variables indicating the distance of the laser beam to the centre of the build. The heat flux is applied based on equation (2) with the laser beam completing a pass (or layer) moving with velocity of 0.005 m/s. The heat source model is useful in assessing the transient temperature fields of laser material processing employing the FEA method. For simplicity in the determination of the fatigue usage factor of γ-TiAl alloy produced by LAM, uniaxial loading was applied on the test piece during investigation as shown in Figure 1b. The loading process is modelled by invoking a dynamic elasto-plastic analysis as a function of a variable displacement parameter. A sequence of successive steady state configurations can be computed assuming constant boundary/point contact conditions and non-linear material properties under a displacement control mode. A rigid constraint is placed at both edges since the material is assumed to deform elasto-plastically.

3. Governing Equations

The effective stress in LAMed γ-TiAl alloy during deposition was predicted using solid mechanics based on equation (3). This was used to determine the behaviour of the material as a result of heat accumulation during fabrication.

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \nabla . S + F_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where, ρ is the density in kg/m³, u is a vector quantity, S is the translational transformer, t is time and F is the linear transformer.
The heat source of the LAMed γ-TiAl alloy is expressed by the Fourier heat differential equation (equation 4 and equation 5).

\[
\rho C_p \frac{dT}{dt} + \rho C_p h \nabla T + \nabla \cdot q = Q + Q_{\text{rad}}
\]  

\[
q = -k \nabla T
\]

where, \( C_p \) is the specific heat in J/Kg/K, \( \rho \) is the density in kg/m³, \( T \) is the temperature in Kelvin (K), \( t \) is time in seconds (s), \( Q \) is the internal heat source intensity in J/mm² and \( k \) is the coefficient of thermal conductivity in W/(m.K); while the \( C_p \) and \( k \) changes with temperatures.

In the fatigue usage factor model, the stress state on the surface in the central part of the specimen can be evaluated using analytical expressions. Because there are no tractions on the boundaries the specimen would be in a state of plane stress. Therefore, the search for the critical plane can be reduced to two dimensions. In that case, the normal and shear stresses on a plane can be obtained as stated in equation (6) and equation (7), respectively.

\[
\sigma(\varphi) = \sigma_a \cos \varphi^2 + \sigma_b \sin \varphi^2 + \tau_{ab} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi
\]

\[
\tau(\varphi) = \frac{\sigma_b - \sigma_a}{2} \sin 2\varphi + \tau_{ab} \cos 2\varphi
\]

where, \( \sigma_a \) and \( \sigma_b \) are orthogonal normal stresses and \( \tau_{ab} \) is the shear stress. The orientation angle \( \varphi \) is calculated from the axis of \( \sigma_a \) and \( \sigma_b \). The \( \sigma_a \) is the axial stress and the \( \tau_{ab} \) is shear stress via the twisting moments. The criteria for the normal stresses reflect on the plane having the range of the largest normal stress. In the determination of fatigue usage factor, a critical plane where the normal stress is highest combined with the highest range of shear stress is adopted. According to Lang (2001), usage factor determination within the elastic-plastic strain range is determined by the ke factor as expressed in equation (8). Based on these understanding we modified the equation (8) to derive equation (9).

\[
K_e = \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{pl}}}{\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{fict}}}
\]

\[
\text{Fatigue usage factor} = \frac{\text{Critical Shear Stress}}{\text{Normal stress}}
\]

where, \( \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{pl}} \) is the elastic plastic strain range and \( \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{fict}} \) is the fictitious elastic strain range, decisively influences the usage factor.

4. Model Implementation and Solution Strategy

The materials properties for the determination of the fatigue usage factor were taken from the results generated by the material properties model based on the microstructure. The material properties were assumed to be isotropic. The direct (PARDISO) solver was used to solve the linear system. The “large deformation” box was enabled to allow large displacement solutions. At each stage, the geometric configuration in the stages were calculated by using that of the previous stage. The damped Newton method was used to solve each stage. The stress at the test piece interfaces was solved by the augmented Lagrangian method which includes the augmentation components and the segregated solver.

4.1 Boundary Conditions

In the LAM process model, convection and radiation are the major causes of heat loss which were considered during this simulation study of heat transfer (Balichakra et al. 2019; Morville et al. 2012). Nevertheless, as shown in Figure 2, the boundary 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 were considered to be thermally insulated while boundary 4 was the heat flux surface. The simulation of the LAM process requires the boundary conditions to consider the laser heat source, convection and radiation losses (Morville et al. 2012); which has been accounted for in equations in equation (3). The fixed constraint implemented for the fatigue specimen as boundary condition is depicted in Figure 3.

The boundary conditions imposed for the determination of the fatigue usage factor are as follows:

- The application of stress results only in axial or longitudinal displacements of the test piece. Thus, the cylindrical bar does not rotate during testing.
- The radial displacement is fixed to zero.
- Fixed constraints at both ends of the cylindrical bar (shown in Figure 3) but all other boundaries are considered free.
- The stiffness of the specimen has to be lower than the applied force.

4.2 Meshing and Model Geometry

In the material properties model from the microstructure, extremely fine mesh size was adopted (as shown in Figure 4a) with a free tetrahedral shape. The maximum and minimum elements sizes were 8 x 10⁻³ μm and 8 x 10⁻⁴ μm, respectively, with a 1.3 element growth rate and curvature factor of 0.2. Whereas, in the model finite element mesh for the LAM process, the curvature factor was 0.4 with minimum and maximum element size of 0.00032 μm and 0.0044 μm, respectively, also with free tetragonal mesh shape. The COMSOL Multiphysics® applies the ALE method for the moving mesh with a hyper-elastic smoothing method.

The 3D model was used to determine the fatigue usage factor based on stress-strain analysis taking into account the whole geometry (see figure 4b). This model also uses the normal meshing size of the free tetragonal element having 0.6 curvature factor, maximum growth rate of 1.5 with a minimum and maximum element size of 1.8 μm and 10 μm, respectively. The absolute tolerance was 10⁻⁷ and the relative tolerance was 10⁻². All the simulations were performed on four cores of a 16 GB RAM computer with windows 10 operating system taking about 2 hours to complete the simulation study.
4.3 Material Properties

In the LAM process, it is essential to know the material thermo-physical properties. Hence, COMSOL Multiphysics software was used to model the density, thermal conductivity and heat capacity and these results were then imported for the LAM process. But the remaining material properties of γ-TiAl were those used by Balichakra et al. (2019). The thermo-physical properties at RT used for the LAM model are listed in Table 1.

These properties have tremendous effects on the response of γ-TiAl alloy to the applied force. A normal force of 16000 N was applied for the simulated fatigue test at a twisting moment of 25 Nm with limit normal stress set at 1200 MPa. This done to make sure the elastic limit of the alloy was not exceeded. Thus, the testing was carried out within the elastic-plastic regime.

4.4 Model Assumptions

In modelling and simulations, assumptions are made to reduce complexities of the entire process. The assumptions made to keep model simple and close to practical scenarios as possible are listed below:
Table 1: Thermophysical Properties of γ-TiAl Alloy at Room Temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Range of values in Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of Thermal Expansion</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>(Balichakra et al. 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of Material</td>
<td>3906 kgm$^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat Capacity of Material</td>
<td>466.6 J/Kg.K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Conductivity Material</td>
<td>15 W/m.K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s Modulus</td>
<td>$1.72 \times 10^{11}$ Pa</td>
<td>(Balichakra et al. 2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson Ratio</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>(Balichakra et al. 2019)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The material is isotropic
2. No heat loss by conduction during LAM process
3. Material vaporization was neglected for LAM modelling
4. The material is linearly elastic
5. For LAM modelling boundary 4 is the only heat flux region
6. LAM process flow function during melting and solidification is negligible

5. Results and discussion

This section presents the model results along with discussion for the properties from microstructure, LAM process and the fatigue usage factor. The thermal conductivity, heat capacity at constant pressure and density from simulation of the properties based on the microstructure are presented in Table 2. After the material properties’ simulation, the heat capacities differ considerably from the values available in literature. However, the density and thermal conductivity were relatively close to values in open literature. The results of modelled material properties at room temperature are presented in Table 2 and compared with the range of values found in literature. Since density and thermal conductivity are the most important thermo-physical properties of any material. These results were accepted for the simulation of the LAM process because the derived density value conforms to the density of the γ-phase (major phase in a typical γ-TiAl with up to 90% of the alloy) and the thermal conductivity value is also acceptable.

Figure 5 shows the LAM process temperature profile during laser heating (Figure 5a), the moving laser heat source (Figure 5b), the stress build-up owing to temperature from laser heating (Figure 5c), and the orthogonal view of the LAM γ-TiAl build simulated at ambient temperature (Figure 5d). It was observed that the temperature increases from RT to about 1100 K with subsequent rapid cooling to about 600 K as the laser beam completes the first layer which evident from the curve in Figure 5a. The maximum temperature further increases with the addition of the second and third layers up to about 1300 K and 1400 K, respectively. This indicates that the peak temperatures of preceding layers continually increase with the addition of more layers.

The path showing a rise in temperature (Figure 5a) with time conforms with the deposition period of each layer. While the path indicative of temperature reduction with time conforms with the idle time between the successive deposition of layers which adds up to 40 seconds to complete three layers. Thermal cycles and peak temperatures underwent by the respective layer of deposit affects the resultant dimensional accuracy and mechanical properties of the component (Verma et al. 2017).

Figure 6 shows the plot of the normal stress, shear stress and Von Mises stress (Figure 6a), Von Mises stress (N/m$^2$) in the isometric view (Figure 6b), and Von Mises stress (N/m$^2$) in the orthographic view (Figure 6c) during the simulated fatigue testing. Modelling and simulations are done on materials as part of computational materials science to depict the actual components without having to spend resources on actual experimentations. In this study, dual-phase γ-TiAl are being subjected to a uniaxial directional loading condition, at ambient temperature to predict the fatigue usage factor of the bulk material. The results of this study would a basis for several other studies on the γ-TiAl. Also, the results may be employed for further in-depth investigations.

The thermal history and high-energy input in AM results in complex phase transformations in TiAl-based alloys (Wang et al. 2020). In the work of Chen et al. (2020), molecular dynamic (MD) simulation was adopted to examine the influence of temperature on the mechanical properties, deformation mechanism and atomic diffusivity of TiAl/Ti$_3$Al boundary on a nanoscale. It was observed that the temperature has significant effects on the diffusion behaviour of both the α$_2$-Ti$_3$Al and γ-TiAl phases while undergoing uniaxial tension. Likewise, during the LAM process a Von Misses stress of $2.88 \times 10^6$ N/M$^2$ was observed with a corresponding maximum temperature of 1510 K. The temperature and stress tend to exhibit direct proportional relationship. Interfacial strain was produced through the boundary grains twisting preceded by competitive growth resulting from compression of adjacent grains. Consequently, atoms of the grains adjacent to each other are expected to move along the direction of the applied force, to facilitate the movement of interface towards the axial direction (Liu et al. 2020b). Typical LAMed TiAl alloys displays a band of alternative microstructure containing of hexagonal colony grains of the α$_2$/γ laths phases (Zhang et al. 2020).

Figure 7 shows the modelled test piece for the prediction of the LAMed TiAl fatigue usage factor. The result shows that the stress-strain relation is isotropic. According to Li et al. (2019), temperature does not affect the mechanical properties of flow stress, yield strain, yield strength, and elastic modulus. However, the overriding failure mode is plastic deformation and fracture under constrained
boundary conditions (Chen et al. 2020; Li et al. 2019). Since the applied loading does not exceed the material elastic limit by using a normal force of 16000 N at a twisting moment of 25 Nm with limit normal stress set at 1200 MPa. The predicted values for the fatigue usage factor was 0.35 with corresponding peak Von Mises stress of 2.91 x 10^8 N/M^2 was produced.

From literature, it is understood that the gamma-phased γ-TiAl lamellar system fails due to plastic deformation whereas fracture is the mode of failure in Ti₂Al phase system (Chen et al. 2020; Li et al. 2019). This is due to the ductile nature of the γ-phase as compared to the brittle α₂-phase. The model was executed through various simulations using COMSOL Multiphysics and the resulting deformations are observed. It was noticed in the that modelling both phases of TiAl, and the differences in behaviour between both
α₂-Ti₃Al and γ-TiAl phases demonstrated similar characteristics owing to their intermetallic nature. Thus, it was established that the behaviour of the dual-phased γ-TiAl interface system fabricated using LAM gives a fatigue usage factor of 0.35. This relationship is expected to be in agreement with the experiment results based on this theoretical analysis.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, fatigue usage factor of LAMed two-phase γ-TiAl alloy under uniaxial loading was simulated with COMSOL Multiphysics version 5.4. The material properties mainly thermal conductivity, heat capacity at constant pressure and density were computed by the simulation of the γ-TiAl alloy microstructure. These properties were adopted in the investigation and determination of fatigue usage factor simulation of the LAMed γ-TiAl alloy. Based on the simulation results, it was concluded that the thermal properties were not the same as values in several literatures. This is because our simulated heat capacity value was about 50% lesser than most values reported for γ-TiAl-based alloys’ heat capacity in literature. This disparity was attributed to assumptions made during the material property modelling. However, the density and thermal conductivity were in agreement with known values of TiAl-based materials. However, the density and thermal conductivity were in agreement with known values of TiAl-based alloys available. In the LAM process, the peak temperatures of preceding layers tend to consistently increase with addition of more layers. This shows a maximum transient temperature 1510 K with corresponding peak Von Mises stress 2.88 x10⁸ N/M². However, a value of 0.35 was measured as the fatigue usage factor with a peak Von Mises stress of 2.91 x10⁸ N/M² was noticed. Finally, the results of this study validate the conclusions drawn in several other studies for dual-phase γ-TiAl, and the results may be used for further in-depth investigations.
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